

Singaporean Journal of Scientific Research(SJSR) Journal of Technology and Engineering System(JTES) Vol.8.No.3 2016 Pp.227-238 available at :www.iaaet.org/sjsr Paper Received : 28-08-2016 Paper Accepted: 19-09-2016 Paper Reviewed by: 1.Prof. Cheng Yu 2. Dr.M. Akshay Kumar Editor : Dr. Chu Lio

# FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR GENERALIZED CIRIC-BERINDE TYPE CONTRACTIVE MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS

## U. Karuppiah<sup>1</sup> and M. Gunaseelan<sup>2</sup>

1. Department of Mathematics, St.Joseph's College(Autonomous), Tiruchirappalli-620 002. E-mail:u.karuppiah@gmail.com

 Department of Mathematics,
 Sri Sankara Arts and Science College, Enathur, Kanchipuram-631 561.
 E-mail:mathsguna@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to establish a generalized Ćirić-Berinde type contractive for multivalued mappings and to give some application in this support of established results. The result presented in this paper extent and generalize some previous work given in existing literature of [1].

Key words:  $\alpha_*$ -admissible multivalued mapping; $\alpha$ -admissible multivalued mapping;Fixed point.

### **1** Introduction and Preliminaries

In 2012,Samet et al.[2] introduced the notions of  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive mappings and  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings in metric spaces and obtained corresponding fixed pint results, which are generalizations of ordered fixed point results (see[2]). Since then, by using their idea, some authors investigated fixed point results in the field. Asl et al. [3] extended some of results in [2] to multivalued mappings by introducing the notions of  $\alpha_*$ - $\psi$ -contractive mappings and  $\alpha_*$ -admissible mappings.

Recently, salimi et al. [4] modified the notions of  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive mapping and  $\alpha$ -admissible by introducing another function  $\eta$ . And then, they gave generalizations of the results of samet et al. [2] and Karapinar and samet[5]. Hussain et al. [6] extended these modified notions to multivalued mappings. That is, they introduced the notion of  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -contractive multifunctions and gave fixed point results for these multifunctions.

Recently, Ali et al. [7] generalized and extended the notion of  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive mappings by introducing the notion of  $(\alpha, \psi, \xi)$ -contractive multivalued mappings and obtained fixed point theorems for these mappings in complete metric spaces.

Very recently, Seong-Hoon Cho. [6] introduce the notion of Ćirić-Berinde type contractive multivalued mappings and to generalize and extend the notion of  $\alpha$ - $\eta$ -contractive multifunctions and to establish fixed point theorems for Ćirić-Berinde type contractive multivalued mappings.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. We denote by CB(X) the class of nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X and by CL(X) the class of nonempty closed subsets of X.Let H(.,.) be the generalized Hausdorff distance on CL(X); that is, for all  $A, B \in CL(X)$ ,

$$\mathbf{H}(A,B) = \begin{cases} \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a,B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b,A)\} & if the maximum exists \\ \infty & if otherwise \end{cases}$$

(1)

where  $d(a, B) = \inf\{d(a, b) : b \in B\}$  is the distance from point a to subset B.

For  $A, B \in CL(X)$ , let  $D(A, B) = \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B}(x, y)$ . Then, we have  $D(A, B) \leq H(A, B)$  for all  $A, B \in CL(X)$ . We denote by  $\Xi$  the class of all functions  $\xi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  such that (1)  $\xi$  is continuous; (2)  $\xi$  is nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ ; (3)  $\xi(t) = 0$  if and only if t = 0;

(4)  $\xi$  is subadditive.

Also, we denote by  $\Psi$  the family of all nondecreasing functions  $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t) < \infty$  for each t > 0, where  $\psi^n$  is the nth iterate

of  $\psi$ .

Note that if  $\psi \in \Psi$ , then  $\psi(0) = 0$  and  $0 < \psi(t) < t$  for all t > 0. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let  $\alpha \colon X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function. We consider the following conditions: (1) for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X with  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ , we have  $\alpha(x_n, x) \ge 1 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; (2) for any sequence  $x_n$  in X with  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a cluster point x of  $x_n$ , we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf \alpha(x_n, x) \ge 1$ ;

(3) for any sequence  $x_n$  in X with  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a cluster point x of  $x_n$ , there exists a subsequence  $x_n(k)$  of  $x_n$  such that  $\alpha(x_n(k), x) \ge 1 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$ 

**Remark 1.1.** (1) implies (2) and (2) implies (3).

Note that if (X, d) is a metric space and  $\xi \in \Xi$ , then  $(X, \xi \circ d)$  is a metric space.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let  $T: X \to CL(X)$  be a multivalued mapping. Then, we say that

(1)*T* is called  $\alpha_*$ -admissible[3] if  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  implies  $\alpha_*(Tx, Ty) \ge 1$ ,

where  $\alpha_*(Tx, Ty) = inf\{\alpha(a, b) : a \in Tx, b \in Ty\};$ 

(2)*T* is called  $\alpha$ -admissible[8]if,for each  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Tx$  with  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$ , we have  $\alpha(y, z) \ge 1 \forall z \in Ty$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** ([1]) Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let  $T: X \to CL(X)$  be a multivalued mappings. If T is  $\alpha_*$ -admissible, then it is  $\alpha$ -admissible.

**Lemma 1.3.** ([1]) Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let  $\xi \in \Xi$  and  $B \in CL(X)$ .

If  $a \in X$  and  $\xi(d(a, B)) < c$ , then there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $\xi(d(a, b)) < c$ .

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

A function  $f: X \to [0, \infty)$  is called upper semicontinuous is for each  $x \in X$ and  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ , we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) \leq f(x)$ .

A function  $f: X \to [0, \infty)$  is called lower semicontinuous if, for each  $x \in X$  and  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ , we have  $f(x) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n)$ .

For a multivalued map  $T: X \to CL(X)$ , let  $f_T: X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function defined by  $f_T(x) = d(x, Tx)$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** ([1]) Let (X, d) be a commutate metric space, and let  $\alpha \colon X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function suppose that a multivalued mappings  $T \colon X \to$ 

CL(X) is  $\alpha$ -admissible. Assume that for all  $x, y \in X, \alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  implies  $\xi(H(Tx, Ty)) \le \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)),$ where  $L \ge 0, \xi \in \Xi$ ,

$$M(x,y) = \max\{d(x,y), d(x,Tx), d(y,Ty), \frac{1}{2}\{d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)\}\}$$
(2)

and  $\psi \in \Psi$  is strictly increasing. Also, suppose that the following are satisfied: (1) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$ ; (2) either T is continuous or  $f_T$  is lower semicontinuous. Then T has a fixed point in X.

**Theorem 1.5.** ([1]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let  $\alpha \colon X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function. Suppose that a multivalued mappings  $T \colon X \to CL(X)$  is  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  implies  $\xi(H(Tx, Ty)) \le \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)),$ where  $L \ge 0, \xi \in \Xi$ ,

$$M(x,y) = \max\{d(x,y), d(x,Tx), d(y,Ty), \frac{1}{2}\{d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)\}\}$$
(3)

and  $\psi \in \Psi$  is strictly increasing and upper semicontinuous function. Also suppose that the following are satisfied :

(1) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$ ; (2) for a sequence  $x_n$  in X with  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and a cluster point x of  $\{x_n\}$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_n(k)\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\alpha(x_n(k), x) \ge 1$ .

Then T has a fixed point in X.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of Ćirić-Berinde type contractive multivalued mappings and to generalize the above results.

#### 2 Fixed Point Theorems

**Theorem 2.1.** Let (X, d) be a commutate metric space, and let  $\alpha \colon X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function suppose that a multivalued mappings  $T \colon X \to CL(X)$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible.

Assume that for all  $x, y \in X, \alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  implies

$$\xi(H(Tx,Ty)) \le \psi(\xi(M(x,y))) + L\xi(d(y,Tx)), \tag{4}$$

where  $L \geq 0, \xi \in \Xi$ ,

$$M(x,y) = \max\{d(x,y), \frac{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)}{d(x,y)}, \frac{1}{2}\{d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)\}\}$$
(5)

and  $\psi \in \Psi$  is strictly increasing. Also, suppose that the following are satisfied: (1) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$ ; (2) either T is continuous or  $f_T$  is lower semicontinuous. Then T has a fixed point in X.

Proof. Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  be such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$ . Let c be a real number with  $\xi(d(x_0, x_1)) < \xi(c)$ . Let  $x_0 \neq x_1$ . If  $x_1 \in Tx_1$ , then  $x_1$  is a fixed point. Let  $x_1 \notin Tx_1$ . Then  $d(x_1, Tx_1) > 0$ . From (4) we obtain  $0 < \xi(d(x_1, Tx_1))$ 

$$\leq \xi(H(Tx_0, Tx_1))$$

$$\leq \psi(\xi(\max\{d(x_0, x_1), \frac{d(x_0, Tx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{d(x_0, x_1)}, \frac{1}{2}\{d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_0)\}\})) + L\xi(d(x_1, Tx_0))$$

$$\leq \psi(\xi(\max\{d(x_0, x_1), \frac{d(x_0, x_1)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{d(x_0, x_1)}, \frac{1}{2}\{d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, x_1)\}\})) + Ld(x_1, x_1)$$

$$\leq \psi(\xi(\max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, Tx_1), \frac{1}{2}\{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)\}\}))$$

$$\leq \psi(\xi(\max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, Tx_1)\}))$$

If  $\max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, Tx_1)\} = d(x_1, Tx_1)$ , then we have  $0 < \xi(d(x_1, Tx_1)) \le \psi(\xi(d(x_1, Tx_1))) < \xi(d(x_1, Tx_1))$  which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, Tx_1)\} = d(x_0, x_1)$ , and hence we have  $0 < \xi(d(x_1, Tx_1)) \le \psi(\xi(d(x_0, x_1))) < \psi(\xi(c))$ . Hence, there exists  $x_2 \in Tx_1$  such that  $\xi(d(x_1, x_2)) < \psi(\xi(c))$ 

Since, T is a  $\alpha$ -admissible, from condition(1) and  $x_2 \in Tx_1$ , we have  $\alpha(x_1, x_2) \ge 1$ . If  $x_2 \in Tx_2$ , then  $x_2$  is a fixed point. Let  $x_2 \notin Tx_2$ . Then  $d(x_2, Tx_2) > 0$ , and so  $\xi(d(x_2, Tx_2)) > 0$ .

From (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \xi(d(x_2, Tx_2)) \\ \leq \xi(H(Tx_1, Tx_2)) \\ \leq \psi(\xi(\max\{d(x_1, x_2), \frac{d(x_1, Tx_1)d(x_2, Tx_2)}{d(x_1, x_2)}, \frac{1}{2}\{d(x_1, Tx_2) + d(x_2, Tx_1)\}\})) + L\xi(d(x_2, Tx_1)) \\ \leq \psi(\xi(\max\{d(x_1, x_2), \frac{d(x_1, x_2)d(x_2, Tx_2)}{d(x_1, x_2)}, \frac{1}{2}\{d(x_1, Tx_2) + d(x_2, x_2)\}\})) + Ld(x_2, x_2) \\ \leq \psi(\xi(\max\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, Tx_2), \frac{1}{2}\{d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, Tx_2)\}\})) \\ \leq \psi(\xi(\max\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, Tx_2)\}) \\ \text{If } \max\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, Tx_2)\} = d(x_2, Tx_2), \text{then we have} \\ 0 < \xi(d(x_2, Tx_2)) \le \psi(\xi(d(x_2, Tx_2))) < \xi(d(x_2, Tx_2)) \text{ which is a contradiction.} \\ \text{Thus,} \max\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, Tx_2)\} = d(x_1, x_2), \text{and hence we have} \\ \xi(d(x_2, Tx_2)) \le \psi(\xi(d(x_1, x_2))) < \psi^2(\xi(c)). \\ \text{Hence,there exists } x_3 \in Tx_2 \text{ such that } \xi(d(x_2, x_3)) < \psi^2(\xi(c)) \\ \text{Since,} T \text{ is a $\alpha$-admissible,from } x_2 \in Tx_1 \text{ and } \alpha(x_1, x_2) \ge 1, \\ \text{we have } \alpha(x_2, x_3) \ge 1. \\ \text{By induction,we obtain a sequence } \{x_n\} \subset X \text{ such that,for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \\ \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1, x_{n+1} \in Tx_n, x_n \neq x_{n+1}, \xi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) < \psi^n\xi(c). \\ \text{Let } \epsilon > 0 \text{ be given.} \\ \text{Since } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi^n(\xi(c)) < \infty, \text{there exists } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that} \\ \sum_{n \ge N} \psi^n(\xi(c)) < \xi(\epsilon) \tag{6} \end{aligned}$$

For all  $m > n \ge N$ , we have

$$\xi(d(x_n, x_m)) \le \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \psi^k(\xi(c))$$
  
$$< \sum_{n \ge N} \psi^n(\xi(c))$$
  
$$< \xi(\epsilon)$$
(7)

which implies  $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$  for all m > n > N. Hence,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X.

It follows from the completeness of X that there exists

$$x_* = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n \in X.$$

Suppose that T is continuous. We have

$$d(x_*, Tx_*) \le d(x_*, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_*)$$
  
$$\le d(x_*, x_{n+1}) + H(x_n, Tx_*)$$

By letting  $n \to \infty$  in the above inequality, we obtain  $d(x_*, Tx_*) = 0$ , and so  $x_* \in Tx_*$ .

Assume that  $f_T$  is lower semicontinuous. Then, $f_T(x_*) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} f_T(x_n)$ . Hence,

$$d(x_*, Tx_*) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n)$$
$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0..$$

Thus,  $x_* \in Tx_*$ 

**Corollary 2.2.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let  $\alpha \colon X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function suppose that  $T \colon X \to CL(X)$  is an  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings.

Assume that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\xi(\alpha(x, y)H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)),$ where  $L \geq 0, \xi \in \Xi$ ,

$$M(x,y) = \max\{d(x,y), \frac{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)}{d(x,y)}, \frac{1}{2}\{d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)\}\}$$
(8)

and  $\psi \in \Psi is \ strictly \ increasing$  .

Also, suppose that conditions(1) and (2) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied: Then T has a fixed point in X.

From Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let  $\alpha \colon X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function suppose that  $T \colon X \to CL(X)$  is an  $\alpha_*$ -admissible mappings.

Assume that for all  $x, y \in X, \alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  implies  $\xi(H(Tx, Ty)) \le \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)),$ where  $L \ge 0, \xi \in \Xi$ ,

$$M(x,y) = \max\{d(x,y), \frac{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)}{d(x,y)}, \frac{1}{2}\{d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)\}\}$$
(9)

and  $\psi \in \Psi$  is strictly increasing. Also, suppose that conditions(1) and (2) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied: Then T has a fixed point in X.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let  $\alpha \colon X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function suppose that  $T \colon X \to CL(X)$  is an  $\alpha_*$ -admissible mappings.

Assume that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\xi(\alpha(x, y)H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)),$ where  $L \geq 0, \xi \in \Xi$ ,

$$M(x,y) = \max\{d(x,y), \frac{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)}{d(x,y)}, \frac{1}{2}\{d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)\}\}$$
(10)

and  $\psi \in \Psi$  is strictly increasing.

Also, suppose that conditions(1) and (2) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied: Then T has a fixed point in X.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let  $\alpha \colon X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function. Suppose that a multivalued mappings  $T \colon X \to CL(X)$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible.

Assume that, for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$  implies  $\xi(H(Tx, Ty)) \le \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)),$ where  $L \ge 0, \xi \in \Xi$ ,

$$M(x,y) = \max\{d(x,y), \frac{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)}{d(x,y)}, \frac{1}{2}\{d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)\}\}$$

and  $\psi \in \Psi$  is strictly increasing and upper semicontinuous function. Also suppose that the following are satisfied :

(1) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  such that  $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \ge 1$ ;

(2) for a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X with  $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \ge 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and a cluster point x of  $\{x_n\}$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,

 $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x) \ge 1.$ Then T has a fixed point in X.

*Proof.* Following the proof of Theorem 2.1,we obtain a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$ with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x_* \in X$  such that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n, x_n \neq x_{n+1}, \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ . From(2) there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\alpha(x_{n(k),x_*}) \geq 1$ .

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(d(x_{n(k)+1}, Tx_*)) &= \xi(H(Tx_{n(k), Tx_*})) \\ &\leq \psi(\xi(M(x_{n(k), x_*}))) + L\xi(d(x_*, x_{n(k)+1})), \end{aligned}$$
(11)

where

$$M(x_{n(k)}, Tx_*) = \max\{d(x_{n(k)}, x_*), \frac{d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1})d(x_*, Tx_*)}{d(x_{n(k)}, x_*)}, \frac{1}{2}\{d(x_{n(k)}, Tx_*) + d(x_*, x_{n(k)+1})\}\}$$

We have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} M(x_{n(k)}, Tx_*) = d(x_*, Tx_*),$$

and so

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \xi(M(x_{n(k)}, Tx_*)) = \xi(d(x_*, Tx_*)).$$

Suppose that  $d(x_*, Tx_*) \neq 0$ . Since  $\psi$  is upper semicontinuous,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\psi\xi(M(x_{n(k)},Tx_*))=\psi\xi(d(x_*,Tx_*)).$$

Letting  $k \to \infty$  in inequality(12) and using continuity of  $\xi$ , we obtain

$$0 < \xi(d(x_*, Tx_*)) \leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \psi(\xi(M(x_{n(k)}, x_*))) + \lim_{k \to \infty} L\xi(d(x_*, x_{n(k)+1})) \leq \psi(\xi(d(x_*, Tx_*))) < \xi(d(x_*, Tx_*))$$

which is a contraction . Hence  $d(x_*, Tx_*) = 0$ , and hence  $x_*$  is a fixed point of T.

The following example shows that upper semicontinuity of  $\psi$  cannot be dropped in Theorem 2.5.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$ , and let d(x, y) = |x - y| for all  $x, y \ge 0$ Define a mapping  $T: X \to CL(X)$  by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \{\frac{2}{3}, 1\} & (x = 0) \\ \{\frac{5}{6}x\} & (0 < x \le 1) \\ \{3x\} & (x > 1). \end{cases}$$
(13)

Let  $\xi(t) = t$  for all  $t \ge 0$ , and let

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} \{\frac{6}{7}t\} & (t \ge 1) \\ \{\frac{5}{6}t\} & (0 \le t < 1). \end{cases}$$
(14)

Then,  $\xi \in \Xi$ , and  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\psi$  is a strictly increasing function. Let  $\alpha, \eta: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be defined by

$$\alpha(x,y) = \begin{cases} 6 & (0 \le x, y \le 1) \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$
(15)

Obviously, condition (2) of Theorem 2.5 is satisfied. Condition (1) of Theorem 2.5 is satisfied with  $x_0 = \frac{1}{6}$ . We show that (4) is satisfied . Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$ . Then, $0 \le x, y \le 1$ . If x = y, then obviously (4) is satisfied . Let  $x \ne y$ . If x = 0 and  $0 < y \le 1$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(H(Tx,Ty)) &= H(\{\frac{2}{3},1\},\frac{5}{6}y) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \leq \psi(d(x,Tx)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x,y))). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $0 < x \le 1$  and  $0 < y \le 1$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{split} \xi(H(Tx,Ty)) &= d(Tx,Ty) = d(\frac{5}{6}x,\frac{5}{6}y) \\ &= \frac{5}{6}|x-y| = \psi(d(x,y)) \\ &\leq \psi(\xi(M(x,y))). \end{split}$$

Thus,(4) is satisfied. We now show that T is  $\alpha$  admissible. Let  $x \in X$  be given, and let  $y \in Tx$  be such that  $\alpha(x, y) \ge 1$ . Then, $0 \le x, y \le 1$ . Obviously, $\alpha(y, z) \ge 1$  for all  $z \in Ty$  whenever  $0 < y \le 1$ . If y = 0, then  $Ty = \{\frac{2}{3}, 1\}$ . Hence, for all  $z \in Ty, \alpha(y, z) \ge 1$ . Hence, T is  $\alpha$ -admissible. Thus, all hypotheses of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied. However, T has no fixed points.

Note that  $\psi$  is not upper semicontinuous.

**Corollary 2.7.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let  $\alpha \colon X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function. Suppose that  $T \colon X \to CL(X)$  is an  $\alpha$ -admissible mappings.

Assume that, for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\xi(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx))$ , where  $L \geq 0, \xi \in \Xi$ ,

$$M(x,y) = \max\{d(x,y), \frac{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)}{d(x,y)}, \frac{1}{2}\{d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)\}\}$$

and  $\psi \in \Psi$  is strictly increasing and upper semicontinuous function. Also suppose that conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied. Then T has a fixed point in X.

**Corollary 2.8.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let  $\alpha \colon X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function suppose that  $T \colon X \to CL(X)$  is an  $\alpha_*$ -admissible mappings.

Assume that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\xi(\alpha(x, y)H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)),$ where  $L \geq 0, \xi \in \Xi$ ,

$$M(x,y) = \max\{d(x,y), \frac{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)}{d(x,y)}, \frac{1}{2}\{d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)\}\}$$
(16)

and  $\psi \in \Psi$  is strictly increasing.

Also, suppose that conditions(1) and (2) of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied: Then T has a fixed point in X.

#### References

- Seong-Hoon Cho, Fixed ponit theorems for Ciric-Berinde Type Contractive Multivalued Mappings, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2015, article ID 76828,6 pages, 2015.
- [2] B.Samet, C.Vetro, and P.Vetro, "Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mappings,"Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications, vol75, no.4, pp.2154-2165, 2012.
- [3] J.H.Asl,S.Rezapour,and N.Shahzad, "On fixed points of  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive multifunctions," Fixed point Theory and Applications, vol. 2012, article 212, 2012.

- [4] P.salimi, A.Latif, and N.Hussain, "Modified α-ψ-contractive mappings with applications," Fixed poit Theory and Applications, vol. 2013, article 151,2013.
- [5] E.Karapinar and B.Samet, "Generalized α-ψ-contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications," Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2012, Article ID 79486, 17 pages, 2012.
- [6] N.Hussain,P.Salimi, and A.Latif, "Fixed point results for single and setvalued α-η-ψ- contractive mappings," Fixed point Theory and Applications, vol. 2013, article 212, 2013.
- [7] M.U.Ali,T.Kamran, and E.Karapinar, "(α,ψ,ξ)-contractive multivalued mappings,"Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol, 2014, article 7,2014.
- [8] B.Mohammadi,S.Rezapour, and N.Shahzad, "Some results on fixed points of α-ψ-Ćirić generalized multifunctions," Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 2013, article 24, 2013.
- [9] V.Berinde, "Some remarks on a fixed point theorem for Ciric-type almost contractions," Carpathian Journal of Mathematics, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 157-162, 2009.
- [10] R.P.Agarwal,M.A.El-Gebeily,and D.O'Regan, Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces,"Applicable Analysis,vol.87,no.1,pp.109-116,2008.